



Complaints and Appeals Procedure

Policy/Procedure creator: Fiona Brown

Policy/Procedure created/reviewed: 16/11/2021

Centre Name	Cheltenham Bournside School
Centre Number	57309
Date procedure first created	22/10/2020
Current procedure reviewed by	Peter Beckett
Current procedure approved by	Karen Hanley
Date of next review	01/11/2021

Key staff involved in the procedure

Role	Name
Exams officer	Fiona Brown
Senior leader(s)	Karen Hanley, Deputy Head & Quality Nominee; Peter Beckett, Exams Manager
Head of centre	Steve Jefferies
Other staff (if applicable)	Hannah Ramsey, Exams Assistant

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that the complaints and appeals in relation to examinations at Cheltenham Bournside School is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in this procedure to GR refers to the JCQ publication **General Regulations for Approved Centres**.

Purpose of the procedure

The purpose of this procedure is to confirm the arrangements for complaints and appeals in relation to examinations at Cheltenham Bournside School and confirms compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers their written complaints and appeals procedure which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her/parent/carer) at Cheltenham Bournside School may make a complaint on the grounds below.

Teaching and Learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an examination candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- The marking of an internal assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not undertaken according to the requirements of the awarding body (complainant should refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**)
- Centre fails to adhere to its **internal appeals procedure**
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of the centre assessed mark

Additional grounds for complaint relating to teaching and learning:

Not applicable

Access arrangements

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding their access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to personal data being shared electronically (by the non-acquisition of a signed **data protection notice/candidate data personal consent form**)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangement(s) in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangement(s) would not apply
- Examination information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment put in place failed during examination/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment

- Appropriate arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment

Additional grounds for complaint relating to access arrangements:

Not applicable

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required examination/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong examination/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Additional grounds for complaint relating to examination entries:

Not applicable

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on examination timetable/regulations prior to examination/assessment taking place
- Room in which assessment held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the examination
- Inadequate invigilation in examination room
- Failure to conduct the examination according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) examination/assessment
- Severe disruption during the examination/assessment.
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the outcome of a special consideration application

Additional grounds for complaint relating to the conducting of examinations:

Not applicable

Results and Post-Results

- Before examinations, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the availability of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make a decision on the submission of a results review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body **post-results services**)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**)
- (updated 2021/22) Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for a candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Additional grounds for complaint relating to results and post-results:

Disruption during the examination/assessment

Complaints and Appeals Procedure

If a candidate (or parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification, Cheltenham Bournside School encourages an informal resolution in the first instance. This can be undertaken by raising the concern in person, by telephone, by email or in writing to the Head of Centre..

If a concern or complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

All documentation relating to the submission of a formal complaint is available from, and should be returned to the Head's PA. . Formal complaints will be logged and acknowledged within 5 schooldays and then responded to by a school leader other than the Headteacher within a further 10 school days. .

To make a formal complaint, candidates (or parents/carers) must submit a formal written notice of the concern or complain in writing to the Headteacher. This written notice should outline any informal contact with the school and state the preferred outcome..

How a formal complaint is investigated

The headteacher will follow the procedure outlined under Stage 1 and Stage 2 of Cheltenham Bournside School's Complaints procedure.

The findings and conclusion of any investigation will be provided to the complainant within 10 school days..

Appeals

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

To submit an appeal, candidates (or parents/carers) must be appealing to a Complaints Panel by writing to the Chair of Governors. They should provide written notice outlining previous contact with the school and stating the preferred outcome to a Complaints Panel..

Appeals will be logged and acknowledged within a timely manner..

The appeal will be referred to the Action Officer who will be a serving governor appointed by the Chair of Governors. They will not have had any previous involvement in the handling of the complaint. The Action Officer will follow Stage 3 of the Cheltenham Bournside School's Complaints procedure..

It will be the responsibility of The Chair of Governors will write to inform the appellant of the final conclusion.

Additional details on the appeals process:

The details in this policy refer to all external examinations.